

United States Patent and Trademark Office

The

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/802,998	03/12/2001	Hirohisa Naito	826.1698	6400
21171 STAAS & HAI	7590 11/28/2007		EXAMINER	
SUITE 700			. BOYCE, ANDRE D	
1201 NEW YORK AVENUE, N.W. WASHINGTON, DC 20005			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3623	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/28/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<u> </u>		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		09/802,998	NAITO ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Andre-Boyce	3623				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SH WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANS IN THE MAIL	ATE OF THIS COMMUNIC 36(a). In no event, however, may a repair (iii) apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT cause the application to become ABA	ATION. ply be timely filed HS from the mailing date of this communication. NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
, —	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 September 2007.						
,—	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.						
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
	4) Claim(s) <u>3-7,16-20,29-33,41 and 42</u> is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
·	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
-	5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>3-7,16-20,29-33,41 and 42</u> is/are rejected. 7)□ Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
-	8) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Applicat	ion Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10)[_]	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority :	under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a)	a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachmen	nt(s)						
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date					
3) Infor	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date		formal Patent Application				

09/802,998 Art Unit: 3623

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

- This Final office action is in response to Applicant's amendment filed September
 2007. Claims 3-7, 16-20, 29-33, 41 and 42 have been amended and are pending.
- 2. Applicant's arguments filed September 10, 2007 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- Claims 3-7, 16-20, 29-33, 41 and 42 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Brady et al (US 2002/0062244), in view of Busche et al (US 2003/0055707).

As per claim 3, Brady et al disclose behavior data fee collection system using computer (central server 22 billing and collecting payments, ¶ 0038), comprising: a data process unit (i.e., location manager 172, ¶ 0047) processing data in which a paired series of at least place information including identities of facilities located at a specific place (i.e., define the location participating in the campaign and the capabilities of the location, ¶ 0047) and an associated data content to be provided to

09/802,998

Art Unit: 3623

the customers (i.e., user interface ID 568 that identifies the user interface in the location the customer is using, ¶ 0053), provided to a user are described according to a prescribed specification (i.e., limits on what kinds of campaigns will be hosted at the location, ¶ 0047), a behavior data generation unit generating behavior data from an aggregate of place data (i.e., customer/location profile table 234, ¶ 0055), said behavior data including data relating to facilities visited by a specific user (i.e., location ID 652, ¶ 0055), and user behavior undertaken at said facilities (i.e., number of items per purchase 676, ¶ 0055); and a fee collection unit collecting a fee from a facility in response to the user utilizing place information described in the data (i.e., location server 16 bills the advertisers for work done, based upon the location of the advertiser workstations 10, ¶ 0038, and a location ID 566 that uniquely identifies the location where the activity is taking place and is used to bill advertisers and distribute payment, ¶ 0053), transmitting the behavior data to a requesting enterprise, and collecting a fee from the requesting enterprise (i.e., reports on various campaigns and billing of advertisers, ¶ 0038).

Brady et al does not explicitly disclose a service of providing routes to a user and a visiting order. Busche et al disclose spatial analysis determining and monitoring the path (i.e. route) of a customer, wherein alternative actions and processes may cause the implementation of new customer paths (¶ 0060). Both Brady et al and Busche et al are concerned with effective target marketing, therefore it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include setting a fee for a service of providing routes to a user in Brady et

09/802,998 Art Unit: 3623

al, as seen in Busche et al, as an effective means of providing customer paths that are financially more attractive to a retail establishment (see Busche et al, ¶ 0060).

As per claim 4, Brady et al disclose behavior data fee collection system using a computer (central server 22 billing and collecting payments, ¶ 0038), comprising: data process unit (i.e., location manager 172, ¶ 0047) processing data in which a paired series of at least place information including identities of facilities located at a specific place (i.e., define the location participating in the campaign and the capabilities of the location, ¶ 0047) and an associated data content to be provided to the customers (i.e., user interface ID 568 that identifies the user interface in the location the customer is using, ¶ 0053), provided to a user are described according to a prescribed specification (i.e., limits on what kinds of campaigns will be hosted at the location, ¶ 0047); a place data acquisition unit obtaining place data transmitted from the unit (i.e., location server 16, ¶ 0038); and a behavior data generation unit totaling information from obtained place data as behavior data (i.e., central sever 22 collecting customer data and location data and analyzing the data to extract information concerning buying habits and thinking characteristics, ¶ 0041) said behavior data including data relating to facilities visited by a specific user (i.e., location ID 652, ¶ 0055), and user behavior undertaken at said facilities (i.e., number of items per purchase 676, ¶ 0055), and a behavior data fee calculation unit calculating a fee for use of the behavior data (i.e., location server 16 bills the advertisers for work done, based upon the location of the advertiser workstations 10, ¶ 0038), wherein said fee is calculated in response to the user utilizing the place

09/802.998

Art Unit: 3623

data (i.e., a location ID 566 that uniquely identifies the location where the activity is taking place and is used to bill advertisers and distribute payment, ¶ 0053) and transmitting the behavior data to a requesting enterprise, and collecting a fee from the requesting enterprise (i.e., reports on various campaigns and billing of advertisers, ¶ 0038).

Brady et al does not explicitly disclose a service of providing routes to a user and a visiting order. Busche et al disclose spatial analysis determining and monitoring the path (i.e. route) of a customer, wherein alternative actions and processes may cause the implementation of new customer paths (¶ 0060). Both Brady et al and Busche et al are concerned with effective target marketing, therefore it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include setting a fee for a service of providing routes to a user in Brady et al, as seen in Busche et al, as an effective means of providing customer paths that are financially more attractive to a retail establishment (see Busche et al, ¶ 0060).

As per claim 5, Brady et al disclose behavior data fee collection system using computer (central server 22 billing and collecting payments, ¶ 0038), comprising facility data registration unit registering facility data (i.e., location manager 172, ¶ 0047); a registration fee calculation unit calculating a registration fee when the data are registered (i.e., location server 16 bills the advertisers for work done, based upon the location of the advertiser workstations 10, ¶ 0038), and a behavior data generation unit (i.e., location manager 172, ¶ 0047) generating data in which a paired series of facility data including at least place information including identities of

09/802,998 Art Unit: 3623

facilities located at a specific place (i.e., define the location participating in the campaign and the capabilities of the location, ¶ 0047) and an associated data content (i.e., user interface ID 568 that identifies the user interface in the location the customer is using, ¶ 0053), to be provided to the user based upon a location of the user (i.e., instrumentation table 106, e.g., location acquisition unit, including a user interface ID 568 that identifies the user interface in the location the customer is using, ¶ 0053) and described according to a prescribed specification (i.e., limits on what kinds of campaigns will be hosted at the location, ¶ 0047), said behavior data including data relating to facilities visited by a specific user (i.e., location ID 652, ¶ 0055), and user behavior undertaken at said facilities (i.e., number of items per purchase 676, ¶ 0055).

Brady et al does not explicitly disclose a registration unit storing a user selected route, registering facility data along the user selected route, and a visiting order. Busche et al disclose spatial analysis determining and monitoring the path (i.e. route) of a customer, wherein alternative actions and processes may cause the implementation of new customer paths (¶ 0060). In addition, Busche et al disclose the frequency of paths taken, with relationship to stationary objects such facilities (¶ 0060). Both Brady et al and Busche et al are concerned with effective target marketing, therefore it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include setting a fee for a service of providing routes to a user in Brady et al, as seen in Busche et al, as an effective

09/802,998

Art Unit: 3623

means of providing customer paths that are financially more attractive to a retail establishment (see Busche et al, ¶ 0060).

As per claim 6, Brady et al disclose a behavior data fee collection system using a computer (central server 22 billing and collecting payments, ¶ 0038), comprising: a facility data registration unit registering facility data (i.e., location manager 172, defining participating locations ¶ 0047); a behavior data generation unit (i.e., location manager 172, ¶ 0047) generating data in which a paired series of facility data including at least place information including identities of facilities located at a specific place (i.e., define the location participating in the campaign and the capabilities of the location, ¶ 0047) and an associated data content to be provided to the customers (i.e., user interface ID 568 that identifies the user interface in the location the customer is using, ¶ 0053), are provided to a user based upon a location of the user (i.e., instrumentation table 106 (i.e., location acquisition unit) including a user interface ID 568 that identifies the user interface in the location the customer is using, ¶ 0053) and described according to a prescribed specification (i.e., limits on what kinds of campaigns will be hosted at the location, ¶ 0047) said behavior data including data relating to facilities visited by a specific user (i.e., location ID 652, ¶ 0055), and user behavior undertaken at said facilities (i.e., number of items per purchase 676, ¶ 0055); a behavior data process unit obtaining information about use of data when the data is generated (i.e., central sever 22 collecting customer data and location data and analyzing the data to extract information concerning buying habits and thinking characteristics, ¶ 0041), and charging a fee against each

09/802,998 Art Unit: 3623

facility (i.e., location server 16 bills the advertisers for work done, based upon the location of the advertiser workstations 10, ¶ 0038), wherein said fee is calculated in response to the user utilizing the facility data (i.e., a location ID 566 that uniquely identifies the location where the activity is taking place and is used to bill advertisers and distribute payment, ¶ 0053).

Brady et al does not explicitly disclose a route selected by the user and a visiting order. Busche et al disclose spatial analysis determining and monitoring the path (i.e. route) of a customer, wherein alternative actions and processes may cause the implementation of new customer paths (¶ 0060). Both Brady et al and Busche et al are concerned with effective target marketing, therefore it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include setting a fee for a service of providing routes to a user in Brady et al, as seen in Busche et al, as an effective means of providing customer paths that are financially more attractive to a retail establishment (see Busche et al, ¶ 0060).

As per claim 7, Brady et al disclose a behavior data fee collection system using a computer (central server 22 billing and collecting payments, ¶ 0038), comprising: a facility data registration unit registering facility data (i.e., location manager 172, defining participating locations ¶ 0047); a behavior data generation unit (i.e., location manager 172, ¶ 0047) generating data in which a paired series of facility data including at least place information including identities of facilities located at a specific place (i.e., define the location participating in the campaign and the capabilities of the location, ¶ 0047) and an associated data content to be provided to

09/802,998

Art Unit: 3623

the customers (i.e., user interface ID 568 that identifies the user interface in the location the customer is using, ¶ 0053), are provided to a user based upon a location of the user (i.e., instrumentation table 106 (i.e., location acquisition unit) including a user interface ID 568 that identifies the user interface in the location the customer is using, ¶ 0053) and described according to a prescribed specification (i.e., limits on what kinds of campaigns will be hosted at the location, ¶ 0047) said behavior data generating unit further generating behavior data including data relating to facilities visited by a specific user (i.e., location ID 652, ¶ 0055), and user behavior undertaken at said facilities (i.e., number of items per purchase 676, ¶ 0055); a behavior data process unit (i.e., central server 22, ¶ 0041) obtaining information about use of data when the data are downloaded (i.e., collection of location data, ¶ 0041), when use of the data is started, when each facility is reported in a process of the data or when guidance or advertisement on each facility is presented to a user in a process of the data (i.e., defining the capabilities of the locations, what campaigns the locations will participate in, and the limits on what kind of campaigns will be hosted at each location, ¶ 0047), and charging a fee against each facility (i.e., location server 16 bills the advertisers for work done, based upon the location of the advertiser workstations 10, ¶ 0038), wherein said fee is charged in response to the user utilizing the facility data (i.e., a location ID 566 that uniquely identifies the location where the activity is taking place and is used to bill advertisers and distribute payment, ¶ 0053).

Art Unit: 3623

Brady et al does not explicitly disclose a route selected by the user and a visiting order. Busche et al disclose spatial analysis determining and monitoring the path (i.e. route) of a customer, wherein alternative actions and processes may cause the implementation of new customer paths (¶ 0060). Both Brady et al and Busche et al are concerned with effective target marketing, therefore it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include setting a fee for a service of providing routes to a user in Brady et al, as seen in Busche et al, as an effective means of providing customer paths that are financially more attractive to a retail establishment (see Busche et al, ¶ 0060).

Claims 16-20 and 41 are rejected based upon the same rationale as the rejection of claims 3-7 and 7, respectively, since they are the method claims, corresponding to the system claims.

Claims 29-33 are rejected based upon the same rationale as the rejection of claims 3-7, respectively, since they are the storage medium claims, corresponding to the system claims.

Claim 42 is rejected based upon the same rationale as the rejection of claim 3, since it is the apparatus claim corresponding to the method claim.

Response to Arguments

5. In the Remarks, Applicant argues that none of the references, alone or in combination, disclose or suggest a behavior data generation unit generating behavior data from an aggregate of place data, said behavior data including data

Art Unit: 3623

relating to facilities visited by a specific user, a visiting order, and user behavior undertaken at said facilities. The Examiner respectfully disagrees. Brady et al disclose a behavior data generation unit generating behavior data from an aggregate of place data (i.e., customer/location profile table 234, ¶ 0055), said behavior data including data relating to facilities visited by a specific user (i.e., location ID 652, ¶ 0055), and user behavior undertaken at said facilities (i.e., number of items per purchase 676, ¶ 0055). Moreover, Busche et al disclose spatial analysis determining and monitoring the path (i.e. route) of a customer, wherein alternative actions and processes may cause the implementation of new customer paths (¶ 0060), thus indeed discloses a visiting order.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time 6. policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

09/802,998

Art Unit: 3623

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Andre Boyce whose telephone number is (571) 272-6726. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:30-6pm M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tariq Hafiz can be reached on (571) 272-6729. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

adb November 25, 2007 ANDRE, BOXE
PATENT EXAMINER
A.U. 3623